arXiv:1209.4501v1 [gr-qc] 20 Sep 2012

Viable Kaluza-Klein models with spherical compactification: multicomponent background

Maxim Eingorn[1,2],* Seyed Hossein Fakhr[1],† and Alexander Zhuk[1]‡
[1] *Astronomical Observatory, Odessa National University,*
Street Dvoryanskaya 2, 65082 Odessa, Ukraine
and
[2] *Department of Theoretical and Experimental Nuclear Physics,*
Odessa National Polytechnic University,
Shevchenko av. 1, 65044 Odessa, Ukraine

We consider Kaluza-Klein models with background matter in the form of a multicomponent perfect fluid. This matter provides spherical compactification of the internal space with an arbitrary number of dimensions. We perturb this background by a compact gravitating source with the dust-like equation of state in the external/our space and an arbitrary equation of state (with the parameter Ω) in the internal space. We demonstrate a possibility for the considered model to satisfy both the gravitational tests (the deflection of light, the time delay of radar echoes, the PPN parameter γ) and the thermodynamical observations in the case of vanishing tension, i.e. for $\Omega = 0$. To get this result, we need to impose the fine-tuning condition.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.50.Cd, 04.80.Cc, 11.25.Mj

I. INTRODUCTION

The modern theories of unification such as superstrings, supergravity and M-theory have the most self-consistent formulation in spacetime with extra dimensions. Different aspects of the idea of multidimensionality are intensively used in numerous modern articles. Therefore, it is important to construct viable multidimensional models which are in good agreement with physical experiments. Unfortunately, the most simple Kaluza-Klein models with toroidal compactification of internal spaces contradict the gravitational experiments (the deflection of light, the time delay of radar echoes, the parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters) in the case of compact nonrelativistic gravitating sources with dust-like equations of state $p = 0$ in both external and internal spaces [1]. Clearly, this is the most natural equation of state for nonrelativistic objects because the energy density for them is much bigger than the pressure. Such approach for gravitating matter sources works very well in general relativity in the three-dimensional space to describe the known gravitational experiments in the Solar system [2]. Therefore, we expected the same in Kaluza-Klein models. However, in the case of extra dimensions we need to demand the negative relativistic pressure (i.e. tension) in the internal space to satisfy the above-mentioned gravitational experiments [3, 4]. We have shown that latent solitons with black strings and black branes as particular cases satisfy the gravitational experiments at the same level of accuracy as general relativity. For example, for black strings/branes the equation of state in the internal space is $p = \Omega\varepsilon$ with $\Omega = -1/2$. However, up to now we are not aware of the reasonable physical explanation for ordinary nonrelativistic objects, possessing such relativistic tension. So, to avoid tension, we considered Kaluza-Klein models with spherical compactification of the internal spaces. Indeed, here we constructed models which satisfy the gravitational tests in the case of the gravitating matter source with an arbitrary equation of state parameter Ω in the internal space including the most physically interesting dust-like case $\Omega = 0$ [5]. In the paper [6], we explained why some models meet the classical gravitational tests, while others do not. We have shown that variation of the total volume of the internal spaces generates the fifth force. This is the main reason of the problem. In the case of models with toroidal compactification, tension of the gravitating source can fix the total volume of the internal space, resulting in the vanishing fifth force and consequently in agreement with the observations. It takes place for latent solitons, black strings and black branes. In the case of spherical compactification, the fifth force is replaced by the Yukawa interaction for models with the stabilized internal space and an arbitrary[1] value $\Omega \neq -1/2$. For large Yukawa masses, the effect of this interaction is negligibly small, and considered models satisfy the gravitational tests at the same level of accuracy as general relativity. It seems that the models with spherical compactification give us a possibility to eliminate tension, remaining in

---

*Electronic address: maxim.eingorn@gmail.com
†Electronic address: seyed.hossein.fakhr@gmail.com
‡Electronic address: ai.zhuk2@gmail.com

[1] The case $\Omega = -1/2$ is an exceptional one. Here, we get black branes with spherical compactification of the internal space [7]. Similar to the black branes with toroidal compactification, this model also satisfies the gravitational tests.

agreement with tests. Unfortunately, we again arrive at the problem. In the papers [8, 9], we have shown that the gravitating body acquires the effective relativistic pressure in the external/our space. It means that any system of nonrelativistic particles may have the relativistic momentum crossing any spatial area. Of course, it contradicts the thermodynamical observations. We have demonstrated that the value $\Omega = -1/2$ (i.e. tension!) is the only possibility to avoid this problem. Therefore, in spite of agreement with the gravitational tests for any value of Ω including $\Omega = 0$, the considered models with spherical compactification satisfy both gravitational tests and thermodynamical observations only for $\Omega = -1/2$. So, we need tension again! Then, we asked the following question. Is it possible, in principle, to construct a model without tension, satisfying the observations (from both gravitational and thermodynamical points of view)? In the present paper we give an affirmative answer to this question and show what is the price for it.

Here, we consider a model where background matter is taken in the form of a multicomponent perfect fluid. This matter provides spherical compactification of the internal space. Multicomponent approach is quite natural. For example, because of nontrivial topology of our multidimensional spacetime (i.e. compactness of the internal space), vacuum fluctuations of quantized fields result in the nonzero energy density (the Casimir effect) [10, 11]. Various variants of the string theory contain real-valued form fields [12]. The model may also include other types of fluids. We perturb this background by a compact (usually, point-like) gravitating mass with the dust-like equation of state in the external/our space and an arbitrary equation of state (with the constant parameter Ω) in the internal space. We demonstrate that due to multicomponent nature of the background matter there is a possibility to construct a model which satisfies both the gravitational tests and the thermodynamical properties. It takes place for any reasonable value of Ω including the most interesting dust-like case $\Omega = 0$. However, to achieve it we need a fine-tuning condition.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we define the background solution in the case of spherical compactification of the internal space with an arbitrary number of dimensions. Here, the background matter takes the form of the multicomponent perfect fluid. Then, we perturb this solution by a gravitating mass with an arbitrary equation of state in the internal space, and obtain equations for the metric perturbations. In Sec. III we solve these equations for two particular examples and demonstrate a principal possibility for the considered model to satisfy the gravitational and thermodynamical observations. The main results are shortly summarized in the concluding Sec. IV.

II. MULTICOMPONENT BACKGROUND SOLUTION AND PERTURBATIONS

Before the consideration of the gravitational field produced by the gravitating mass, we need to create an appropriate background metrics. Such metrics is defined on the product manifold $M = M_4 \times M_d$, where M_4 describes external four-dimensional flat spacetime and M_d corresponds to the d-dimensional internal space which is a sphere with the radius (the internal space scale factor) a, and should have the form

$$ds^2 = c^2dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 + \sum_{\mu=4}^{D} g_{\mu\mu} d\xi_\mu^2\,, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_{DD} &= -a^2\,, \\ g_{\mu\mu} &= -a^2 \prod_{\nu=\mu+1}^{D} \sin^2\xi_\nu\,, \quad \mu = 4,\dots,D-1\,, \end{aligned} \qquad (2)$$

and $D = 3 + d$ is the total number of spatial dimensions. To create such metrics with the curved internal space, we have to introduce background matter with the energy-momentum tensor

$$\bar{T}_{ik} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2\kappa a^2} - \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\right) g_{ik} & \text{for } i,k = 0,...,3; \\ \left(\frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2\kappa a^2} - \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\right) g_{ik} & \text{for } i,k = 4,5,\dots,D. \end{cases} \qquad (3)$$

These components of the energy-momentum tensor can be easily got from the Einstein equation

$$\kappa \bar{T}_{ik} = R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{ik} - \kappa \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} g_{ik} \qquad (4)$$

for the background metrics (1). Here, $\kappa \equiv 2S_D\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}/c^4$, $S_D = 2\pi^{D/2}/\Gamma(D/2)$ is the total solid angle (the surface area of the $(D-1)$-dimensional sphere of a unit radius) and $\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is the gravitational constant in $(\mathcal{D} = D+1)$-dimensional spacetime. We also include in the model a bare multidimensional cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}$. To get these components of the energy-momentum tensor, we took into account that the only nonzero Ricci-tensor components are $R_{\mu\mu} = -[(d-1)/a^2]g_{\mu\mu}$, $\mu = 4,\dots,D$, and the scalar curvature is $R = -d(d-1)/a^2$.

Now, we suppose that the energy-momentum tensor

(3) corresponds to the N-component perfect fluid:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{T}_0^0 &= \bar{\mathcal{E}} = \sum_{q=1}^{N} \bar{\varepsilon}_q \,, && (5)\\
\bar{T}_\alpha^\alpha &= -\bar{P}_0 = -\sum_{q=1}^{N} \bar{p}_{(0)q} = -\sum_{q=1}^{N} \omega_{(0)q}\bar{\varepsilon}_q \,, && (6)\\
\alpha &= 1,2,3 \\
\bar{T}_\mu^\mu &= -\bar{P}_1 = -\sum_{q=1}^{N} \bar{p}_{(1)q} = -\sum_{q=1}^{N} \omega_{(1)q}\bar{\varepsilon}_q \,, && (7)\\
\mu &= 4,5,...,D
\end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_{(0)q}$ and $\omega_{(1)q}$ are the parameters of equations of state of the q-th perfect fluid in the external and internal spaces, respectively. It can be easily seen that the following relations take place:

$$\bar{P}_0 = (-1)\,\bar{\mathcal{E}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{q=1}^{N} \left(1+\omega_{(0)q}\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q = 0 \qquad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\bar{P}_1}{\bar{\mathcal{E}}} &= \frac{2\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\kappa a^2 - (d-1)\,(d-2)}{d\,(d-1) - 2\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\kappa a^2} && (9)\\
&\Rightarrow \sum_{q=1}^{N}\left[\omega_{(1)q} - \frac{2\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\kappa a^2 - (d-1)\,(d-2)}{d\,(d-1) - 2\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}\kappa a^2}\right]\bar{\varepsilon}_q = 0 \,,
\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{d-1}{\kappa a^2}\,\frac{\sum\limits_{q=1}^{N}\left(d\omega_{(1)q} + d - 2\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q}{2\sum\limits_{q=1}^{N}\left(\omega_{(1)q}+1\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q} \,. \qquad (10)$$

There is also another useful relation:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\left(d\omega_{(1)q} + d - 2\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q \,, \qquad (11)$$

which together with (10) gives

$$\frac{d-1}{\kappa a^2} = \sum_{q=1}^{N}\left(\omega_{(1)q}+1\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q \,. \qquad (12)$$

According to Eqs. (10) and (12), in what follows we consider models that satisfy the inequality $\sum_{q=1}^{N}\left(\omega_{(1)q}+1\right)\bar{\varepsilon}_q \neq 0$.

Now, we perturb our background ansatz by a static point-like massive source with the nonrelativistic rest mass density $\hat{\rho}$. We suppose that the matter source is uniformly smeared over the internal space [13]. Hence, multidimensional $\hat{\rho}$ and three-dimensional $\hat{\rho}_3$ rest mass densities are connected as follows: $\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}_3(\mathbf{r}_3)/V_{int}$ where $V_{int} = \left[2\pi^{(d+1)/2}/\Gamma((d+1)/2)\right]a^d$ is the surface area of the d-dimensional sphere of the radius a (see, e.g., [1, 13]). In the case of a point-like mass m, $\hat{\rho}_3(r_3) = m\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)$, where $r_3 = |\mathbf{r}_3| = \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}$. In the nonrelativistic approximation the energy density of the point-like mass is $\hat{T}_0^0 \approx \hat{\rho}c^2$ and up to linear in perturbations terms $\hat{T}_{00} \approx \hat{\rho}c^2$. Inasmuch as the gravitating mass is at rest in the external space, it has the dust-like equation of state $\hat{p}_0 = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{T}_1^1 = \hat{T}_2^2 = \hat{T}_3^3 = 0$ in our dimensions. However, it may have the nonzero equation of state $\hat{p}_1 \approx \Omega\hat{\rho}c^2 \;\Rightarrow\; \hat{T}_\mu^\mu \approx -\Omega\hat{\rho}c^2\,,\ \mu = 4,5,\ldots,D$ in the internal space. All other components of the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitating mass are equal to zero.

Concerning the energy-momentum tensor of the background matter, we suppose that perturbation does not change the equations of state of the multicomponent perfect fluid in the external and internal spaces, i.e. $\omega_{(0)q}$ and $\omega_{(1)q}$ are constants. Therefore, the energy-momentum tensor of the perturbed background is

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{00} &\approx \left(\sum_{q=1}^{N}(\bar{\varepsilon}_q + \varepsilon_q^1)\right) g_{00} \,, && (13)\\
\tilde{T}_{\alpha\alpha} &\approx -\left(\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(0)q}(\bar{\varepsilon}_q + \varepsilon_q^1)\right) g_{\alpha\alpha} \,, && (14)\\
\alpha &= 1,2,3 \\
\tilde{T}_{\mu\mu} &\approx -\left(\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(1)q}(\bar{\varepsilon}_q + \varepsilon_q^1)\right) g_{\mu\mu} \,, && (15)\\
\mu &= 4,5,...,D
\end{aligned}$$

where the corrections ε_q^1 are of the same order of magnitude as the perturbation $\hat{\rho}c^2$.

We suppose that the perturbed metrics preserves its diagonal form. Obviously, the off-diagonal coefficients $g_{0\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1,\ldots,D$, are absent for the static metrics. It is also clear that in the case of the uniformly smeared (over the internal space) gravitating mass, the perturbed metric coefficients (see functions A,B,C,D and G below) depend only on x,y,z [13], and the metric structure of the internal space does not change, i.e. $g_{\mu\mu} = g_{DD}\prod_{\nu=\mu+1}^{D}\sin^2\xi_\nu\,, \mu = 4,\ldots,D-1$. The latter statement can be proved, e.g., in the weak field approximation from the Einstein equations (see appendix B in [5]). It is also easy to show that in this case the spatial part of the external metrics can be diagonalized by coordinate transformations. Therefore, the perturbed metrics reads

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= Ac^2dt^2 + Bdx^2 + Cdy^2 + Ddz^2 \\
&+ G\left[\sum_{\mu=4}^{D-1}\left(\prod_{\nu=\mu+1}^{D}\sin^2\xi_\nu\right)d\xi_\mu^2 + d\xi_D^2\right] && (16)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
&A \approx 1 + A^1(r_3), \quad B \approx -1 + B^1(r_3)\,, \\
&C \approx -1 + C^1(r_3), \quad D \approx -1 + D^1(r_3)\,, \\
&G \approx -a^2 + G^1(r_3)\,. && (17)
\end{aligned}$$

All metric perturbations A^1, B^1, C^1, D^1, G^1 are of the order of quantities ε^1_q. To find these corrections as well as the background matter perturbations ε^1_q, we should solve the Einstein equation

$$R_{ik} = \kappa\left(T_{ik} - \frac{1}{2+d}T g_{ik} - \frac{2}{2+d}\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}} g_{ik}\right), \qquad (18)$$

where the energy-momentum tensor T_{ik} is the sum of the perturbed background $\tilde{T}_{ik}$ (13)-(15) and the energy-momentum tensor of the perturbation $\hat{T}_{ik}$. First, we would like to note that the diagonal components of the Ricci tensor for the metrics (16) up to linear terms A^1, B^1, C^1, D^1, G^1 are

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{00} &\approx \frac{1}{2}\triangle_3 A^1\,,\\
R_{11} &\approx \frac{1}{2}\triangle_3 B^1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(-A^1 - B^1 + C^1 + D^1 + d\frac{G^1}{a^2}\right)_{xx},\\
R_{22} &\approx \frac{1}{2}\triangle_3 C^1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(-A^1 + B^1 - C^1 + D^1 + d\frac{G^1}{a^2}\right)_{yy},\\
R_{33} &\approx \frac{1}{2}\triangle_3 D^1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(-A^1 + B^1 + C^1 - D^1 + d\frac{G^1}{a^2}\right)_{zz},\\
R_{DD} &\approx d - 1 + \frac{1}{2}\triangle_3 G^1\,, \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\triangle_3 = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial y^2 + \partial^2/\partial z^2$ is the three-dimensional Laplace operator. Additionally, for the static metrics (16), where the coefficients A, B, C, D and G depend only on x, y, z, there is the following relation:

$$R_{\mu\mu} = R_{DD}\prod_{\nu=\mu+1}^{D}\sin^2\xi_\nu\,, \quad \mu = 4,\ldots,D-1\,. \qquad (20)$$

Concerning the off-diagonal components of the Ricci tensor, they should be equal to zero according to the Einstein equation (18). Taking into account the relations $g_{\mu\mu} = g_{DD}\prod_{\nu=\mu+1}^{D}\sin^2\xi_\nu\,, \mu = 4,\ldots,D-1$, and that the metric coefficients A, B, C, D and G depend only on x, y, z, we can easily verify that all off-diagonal components are identically equal to zero except the components R_{12}, R_{13} and R_{23}. Equating these components to zero, we obtain the following relations between the metric coefficients:

$$B^1 = C^1 = D^1 \qquad (21)$$

and

$$A^1 - B^1 - \frac{d}{a^2}G^1 = 0\,, \qquad (22)$$

which demonstrate that the expressions in brackets for 11, 22 and 33 components in (19) vanish. Therefore, in the weak field limit the Einstein equation (18) is reduced to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle_3 A^1 &= \frac{1+d+d\Omega}{1+d/2}\kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 \qquad (23)\\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{1+d/2}\sum_{q=1}^{N}(d+1+3\omega_{(0)q}+d\omega_{(1)q})\varepsilon^1_q\,,\\
\triangle_3 B^1 &= \frac{1-d\Omega}{1+d/2}\kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 \qquad (24)\\
&+ \frac{\kappa}{1+d/2}\sum_{q=1}^{N}(1-\omega_{(0)q}+d\omega_{(0)q}-d\omega_{(1)q})\varepsilon^1_q\,,\\
\triangle_3 G^1 &= \frac{1+2\Omega}{1+d/2}\kappa a^2\hat{\rho}c^2 - \frac{2(d-1)}{a^2}G^1 \qquad (25)\\
&+ \frac{\kappa a^2}{1+d/2}\sum_{q=1}^{N}(1-3\omega_{(0)q}+2\omega_{(1)q})\varepsilon^1_q\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used relations (11) and (12). From these equations and the condition (22) we obtain the connection between perturbations G^1 and ε^1_q:

$$G^1 = -\frac{2\kappa a^4}{d(d-1)}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(0)q}\varepsilon^1_q\,. \qquad (26)$$

III. AGREEMENT WITH OBSERVATIONS. PARTICULAR EXAMPLES

We have four equations (23)-(26) to define unknown perturbations A^1, B^1, G^1 and ε^1_q. This is impossible in the case $N > 1$ without some additional assumptions. In this section, we provide two exactly solvable examples.

A. Yukawa corrections

It is well known that Yukawa correction terms to the nonrelativistic gravitational potential arise in the case of stable compactification of the internal spaces. The mass of the Yukawa interaction is defined by the mass of gravexciton/radion [9]. A perfect fluid stabilizes the internal space in the case of the vacuum-like equation of state in the external space [3, 14]. Therefore, in this subsection we assume that

$$\omega_{(0)q} = -1, \quad q = 1,\ldots,N\,. \qquad (27)$$

Note that with this choice of the parameters $\omega_{(0)q}$, Eq. (8) is satisfied automatically. Hence, Eq. (26) is reduced to

$$G^1 = \frac{2\kappa a^4}{d(d-1)}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon^1_q \neq 0\,. \qquad (28)$$

The case of the zero sum in (28) (i.e. the zero perturbation G^1) will be considered in the next subsection. Additionally, we assume the following fine-tuning condition:

$$\sum_{\substack{q,p=1\\ q\neq p}}^{N} \omega_{(1)q}\varepsilon^1_p = 0\,. \qquad (29)$$

Together with the relation (28), this is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\left(\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(1)q}\right)G^1 = \frac{2\kappa a^4}{d(d-1)}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(1)q}\varepsilon^1_q\,. \qquad (30)$$

Then, Eq. (25) reads

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle_3\ G^1 - \lambda^{-2}G^1 &= \frac{2(1+2\Omega)}{2+d}\kappa a^2\hat{\rho}c^2\\ &= a^2\frac{2(1+2\Omega)}{2+d}\frac{8\pi G_N}{c^2}\hat{\rho}_3\,, \end{aligned}\qquad (31)$$

where

$$\lambda^{-2} \equiv \frac{1}{a^2(2+d)}\left[2(d-1)\left(d-2+d\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(1)q}\right)\right] \qquad (32)$$

and we introduced the Newton gravitational constant

$$4\pi G_N = \frac{S_D\tilde{G}_{\mathcal{D}}}{V_{int}}\,. \qquad (33)$$

Let us consider now the point-like (in the external space) approximation for the gravitating objects: $\hat{\rho}_3(r_3) = m\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)$. The generalization of the obtained results to the case of extended compact objects is obvious. It is well known that to get the physically reasonable solution of (31) with the boundary condition $G^1 \to 0$ for $r_3 \to +\infty$ the parameter λ^2 should be positive, i.e. the equation of state parameters $\omega_{(1)q}$ should satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(1)q} > -1 + \frac{2}{d}\,, \quad d \geq 2\,. \qquad (34)$$

Then, Eq. (31) has the solution

$$G^1 = a^2\frac{4\varphi_N}{(2+d)c^2}(1+2\Omega)\exp\left(-\frac{r_3}{\lambda}\right)\,, \qquad (35)$$

where the Newtonian potential $\varphi_N = -G_N m/r_3$.

It can be easily verified that Eqs. (23) and (24) take the form

$$\triangle_3\ \left(A^1 - \frac{d}{2a^2}G^1\right) = \kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^2}\hat{\rho}_3\,, \qquad (36)$$

$$\triangle_3\ \left(B^1 + \frac{d}{2a^2}G^1\right) = \kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^2}\hat{\rho}_3\,, \qquad (37)$$

where we used Eqs. (30) and (31). Therefore, solutions of these equations are

$$A^1 = \frac{2\varphi_N}{c^2} + \frac{d}{2a^2}G^1\,, \qquad (38)$$

$$B^1 = \frac{2\varphi_N}{c^2} - \frac{d}{2a^2}G^1\,. \qquad (39)$$

It is well known that the metric correction term $A^1 \sim O\left(1/c^2\right)$ describes the nonrelativistic gravitational potential: $A^1 = 2\varphi/c^2$. Therefore, this potential acquires the Yukawa correction term:

$$\varphi = \varphi_N\left[1 + \frac{d}{2+d}(1+2\Omega)\exp\left(-\frac{r_3}{\lambda}\right)\right]\,. \qquad (40)$$

As a particular example, we consider the two-component model ($N = 2$) with the monopole form field $\omega_{(1)1} = 1$ [3, 14–16] and vacuum fluctuations of quantized fields (Casimir effect) $\omega_{(1)2} = 4/d$ [11, 14, 15]. For these perfect fluids $\omega_{(0)1} = \omega_{(0)2} = -1$. Real-valued solitonic/monopole form fields naturally arise as Ramond-Ramond form fields in the type II string theory and M-theory [12]. It is also well known that because of nontrivial topology of our multidimensional spacetime (i.e. compactness of the internal space), vacuum fluctuations of quantized fields result in the nonzero energy density (the Casimir effect) [10, 11]. Therefore, from Eqs. (28) and (29) we get

$$\varepsilon^1_1 = -\frac{d}{4}\varepsilon^1_2 = \frac{d^2(d-1)}{2(d-4)\kappa a^4}G^1\,. \qquad (41)$$

The case $d = 4$ is excluded for this particular example because it contradicts the inequality (28) $G^1 \neq 0$.

Obviously, for the sufficiently large Yukawa mass $m_{Yu} = \lambda^{-1}$ the correction terms in Eqs. (38)-(40) are negligible. Precisely this situation takes place for the considered model in the Solar system [9]. Therefore, this model satisfies the gravitational tests for any reasonable values Ω including the most interesting dust-like case $\Omega = 0$. Unfortunately, as we have shown in our papers [8, 9], the nonrelativistic gravitating matter source acquires effective relativistic pressure in the external/our space (remind that $\omega_{(0)q} = -1\,, \forall\, q$):

$$\hat{p}_0^{(eff)} = -T_{\alpha}^{\alpha(eff)} \approx -\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon^1_q \sim mc^2\,, \quad \alpha = 1,2,3\,, \qquad (42)$$

which, certainly, is unacceptable from the thermodynamical point of view. The value $\Omega = -1/2$ is the only possibility to avoid it, i.e. the gravitating source should have tension in the internal space. However, this is not the desired result. Therefore, in the next subsection we construct a model without tension, satisfying observations from both gravitational and thermodynamical points of view.

B. À la black brane with zero tension

Let us assume now the following fine-tuning condition:

$$\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(0)q}\varepsilon^1_q = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad G^1 = 0\,, \qquad (43)$$

where the latter equation follows from Eq. (26). If all parameters of equations of state in the external space are equal to each other ($\omega_{(0)1} = \ldots = \omega_{(0)N}$), then Eq. (43) is reduced to

$$\sum_{q=1}^{N} \varepsilon_q^1 = 0\,, \tag{44}$$

but we do not specially impose this condition. Taking into account Eq. (43), we get from (25) the following additional relation:

$$\sum_{q=1}^{N} \omega_{1q}\varepsilon_q^1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1+2\Omega)\hat{\rho}c^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon_q^1\,. \tag{45}$$

With the help of this relation and the condition (43), Eqs. (23) and (24) read

$$\triangle_3 A^1 \;=\; \kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 + \kappa\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon_q^1\,, \tag{46}$$

$$\triangle_3 B^1 \;=\; \kappa\hat{\rho}c^2 + \kappa\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon_q^1\,. \tag{47}$$

We may conclude from these equations that metric coefficients $A^1 = B^1$ for any value of Ω including the dust-like case $\Omega = 0$. Therefore, the PPN parameter $\gamma = 1$ in full analogy with general relativity. So, we achieved in this model agreement with the gravitational tests (the deflection of light, the Shapiro effect) in the Solar system. To get the Newtonian limit, we should either consider the case (44), or assume that $\sum_{q=1}^{N}\varepsilon_q^1 \sim \hat{\rho}c^2$. The latter case results in renormalization of the multidimensional gravitational constant κ. The Newton gravitational constant is defined by Eq. (33) and the nonrelativistic gravitational potential coincides exactly with the Newtonian expression:

$$A^1 = \frac{2\varphi_N}{c^2}\,. \tag{48}$$

One of the main features of this model consists in the constant/unperturbed internal space because of $G^1 = 0$. It means that the conformal prefactor for the internal space metrics was not changed (up to $O(1/c^2)$): $G = -a^2 = \mathrm{const}$. A similar situation takes place for black string/branes. Usually, they have the unperturbed toroidal internal space. However, there is also generalization to unperturbed spherical compactification [7]. For the considered in this subsection model, we have shown (up to $O(1/c^2)$) that external spacetime metrics is the Schwarzschildian one and the internal space has the unperturbed spherical metrics. The main advantage of this model with respect to the black branes is a possibility to eliminate tension due to the dust-like choice $\Omega = 0$. Because of this difference we call this case "à la black brane".

This model is also satisfactory from the thermodynamical point of view because due to the condition (43) the effective relativistic pressure in the external/our space is absent:

$$T_\alpha^{\alpha(eff)} \approx -\sum_{q=1}^{N}\omega_{(0)q}\varepsilon_q^1 = 0\,, \quad \alpha = 1,2,3\,. \tag{49}$$

To conclude our investigations, we consider the two-component perfect fluid from the previous subsection where the monopole form field is characterized by the following parameters of equations of state: $\omega_{(0)1} = -1$, $\omega_{(1)1} = 1$, and for the Casimir effect we have $\omega_{(0)2} = -1$, $\omega_{(1)2} = 4/d$. Hence, Eq. (8) is automatically satisfied. Then, for the most interesting dust-like case $\Omega = 0$ we get from Eqs. (44) and (45) the following relations:

$$\varepsilon_1^1 = -\varepsilon_2^1 = -\frac{d}{2(d-4)}\hat{\rho}c^2 = -\frac{d}{2(d-4)}\frac{mc^2\delta(\mathbf{r}_3)}{V_{int}}\,. \tag{50}$$

The case $d = 4$ is excluded for this particular example because it contradicts Eq. (45).

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we considered the model where background matter is taken in the form of a multicomponent perfect fluid. Multicomponent approach is quite natural. For example, because of nontrivial topology of our multidimensional spacetime (i.e. compactness of the internal space), vacuum fluctuations of quantized fields result in the nonzero energy density (the Casimir effect). Various variants of the string theory contain real-valued form fields. The model may also include other types of fluids. This matter provides spherical compactification of the internal space with an arbitrary number of dimensions d. We perturbed this background by a compact (in our three-dimensional space) gravitating source with the dust-like equation of state in the external/our space and an arbitrary equation of state (with the constant parameter Ω) in the internal space. We assumed that this matter source is uniformly smeared over the internal space. In the weak-field limit (up to the order $1/c^2$), we obtained equations for the perturbations of the metrics and the background matter. It is impossible to solve these equations in the general case because the number of equations is less than the number of unknowns. Hence, we need to introduce some additional fine-tuning relations. We chose two such conditions which enabled us to solve exactly these equations. In the first case we arrived at the model with the Yukawa correction terms for the metric perturbations. For the sufficiently large Yukawa mass, this model satisfies the gravitational tests. Unfortunately, because of the same reasoning as in the papers [8, 9], the nonrelativistic gravitating matter source acquires the effective relativistic pressure in the external/our space which, certainly, is unacceptable from the thermodynamical point of view. The value $\Omega = -1/2$ is the only possibility to avoid it, i.e. the gravitating source should have tension in the internal space. Because we are not aware of the physical origin of relativistic tension for nonrelativistic gravitating masses, in the next subsection we proposed the other model. Here, with the corresponding fine-tuning condition, the PPN parameter γ is exactly equal to 1 similar to general relativity. Therefore, this model satisfies the gravitational tests (the deflection of light, the Shapiro effect) for any reasonable value of Ω. Moreover, the nonrelativistic gravitational potential exactly coincides with the Newtonian one. One of the main features of this model consists in the constant/unperturbed internal space. It means that the conformal prefactor for the internal space metrics was not perturbed by the gravitating mass. A similar situation takes place for black string/branes. The main advantage of this model with respect to the black branes is a possibility to eliminate tension due to the dust-like choice $\Omega = 0$. This model is also satisfactory from the thermodynamical point of view because the effective relativistic pressure in the external/our space is absent. Therefore, we demonstrated a principal possibility for the considered model to satisfy the gravitational and thermodynamical observations in the case of vanishing tension in the internal space for the gravitating mass. Certainly, it happens due to multicomponent nature of the background matter. Although the fine-tuning conditions impose strong constraints on the model, however we think that they look less artificial than the relativistic tension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the "Cosmomicrophysics-2" programme of the Physics and Astronomy Division of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. A. Zh. acknowledges the hospitality of the Theory Division of CERN, where a part of this work was carried out.

[1] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 205014 (2010); (arXiv:gr-qc/1003.5690).

[2] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields, Fourth Edition: Volume 2 (Course of Theoretical Physics Series)*, (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 2000).

[3] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044005 (2011); (arXiv:gr-qc/1010.5740).

[4] M. Eingorn, O. de Medeiros, L. Crispino and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024031 (2011); (arXiv:gr-qc/1101.3910).

[5] A. Chopovsky, M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 064028 (2012); (arXiv:gr-qc/1107.3388).

[6] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 154-159 (2012); (arXiv:gr-qc/1201.1756).

[7] A. Chopovsky, M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 024025 (2012); (arXiv:gr-qc/1202.2677).

[8] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 176-178 (2012); (arXiv:gr-qc/1202.4773).

[9] M. Eingorn, S.H. Fakhr and A. Zhuk, *Observational constraints on Kaluza-Klein models with d-dimensional spherical compactification*; (arXiv:gr-qc/1207.4339).

[10] P. Candelas and S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. B **237**, 397 (1984).

[11] U. Günther, S. Kriskiv, and A. Zhuk, Gravitation Cosmol. **4**, 1 (1998); (arXiv:gr-qc/9801013).

[12] K.S. Stelle, in *High Energy Physics and Cosmology, 1996 Summer School, ICTP*, Trieste, Italy, 1996, edited by E. Gava *et al.* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997), pp. 287 - 339; (arXiv:hep-th/9701088).

[13] M. Eingorn and A. Zhuk, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 055002 (2010); (arXiv:gr-qc/0910.3507).

[14] A. Zhuk, *Conventional cosmology from multidimensional models*, in *Proceedings of the 14th International Seminar on High Energy Physics "QUARKS-2006"*, (INR Press, Moscow, 2007; Vol.2, page 264); (arXiv:hep-th/0609126).

[15] U. Günther and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 6391 (1997); (arXiv:gr-qc/9706050).

[16] U. Günther, P. Moniz, and A. Zhuk, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 044010 (2003); (arXiv:hep-th/0303023).